The Effect of Stevia Rebaudiana on Serum Omentin and Visfatin Level in STZ-Induced Diabetic Rats
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ABSTRACT. Recently the role of adipocytokines in relationship to incidence of diabetes has been demonstrated. One of the medicinal plants that are used in the treatment of diabetes is stevia. This study investigates the effect of stevia on serum omentin and visfatin levels as novel adipocytokines in diabetic induced rats to find potential mechanisms for the anti hyperglycemic effect of stevia. Forty male wistar rats weighing 180-250 g were induced with diabetes by intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin (STZ). The animals were divided into 5 groups of 8. Rats in group 1 (non-diabetic control) and group 2 (diabetic control) were treated with distilled water, and the rats in the treated groups, group 3 (T250), group 4 (T500), and group 5 (T750) were treated with stevia, gavaged every day at 9 a.m. in doses of 250, 500, and 750 mg/kg, respectively. At the end of the study significant reductions in fasting blood sugar (FBS), the homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), triglyceride (TG), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and Omentin level were found in groups 3 and 4 in comparison with group 2. Pancreatic histopathology slides demonstrated that stevia extract did not induce any increase in the number of β-cells. The conclusion is that prescription of stevia in the doses of 250 and 500 mg/kg/d decreases the omentin level indirectly via activating insulin sensitivity and lowering blood glucose in STZ-induced diabetic rats.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of diabetic patients has been increasing rapidly worldwide, and prevention of diabetes advancement is a major issue in the 21st century (Zimmet, Alberti, & Shaw, 2001). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that more than 180 million people worldwide have diabetes and this number will likely double by 2030 (WHO, 2000). In diabetic patients, chronic increase in blood glucose concentration leads to insulin resistance and induces complications characteristic of diabetes, such as coronary heart disease, hypertension, renal failure, serum lipid distortion, etc. For the treatment of diabetes, especially in eastern countries, in addition to the restriction of energy and the promotion of exercise, the use of medicinal plants has played an important role. These novel compounds are used by traditional herbalists for the management of diabetes in several regions of Iran (Fallah-Hoseini, Fakhrzadeh, Larijani, & Shikhsamani, 2006).

One of the medicinal plants widely used as an alternative medicine in the treatment of hyperglycemia in diabetes is stevia. Stevia rebaudiana that grows in South America does not contain useable carbohydrates for humans. It contains Steviol, rebaudiosides A, B, C, D, E, and F, dulcoside A, and steviolbioside. Natural sweet-tasting glycosides, isolated from the herb, are 200–350 times sweeter than sucrose and have been used as a natural sweetener in Brazil and Japan for decades (Soejarto, Kinghorn, & Farnsworth, 1982; Lailer, Saengsirisuwan, Sloniger, Toskulkao, & Henriksen, 2004; Chen et al., 2005). In addition, the extract of stevia has shown the ability to decrease the blood glucose level in diabetic patients (Kujur et al., 2010; Jeppesen, Gregersen, Poulsen, & Hermansen, 2000; Chen et al., 2005). So far various mechanisms have been reported to be active in the hypoglycemic property of stevia, such as enhancement of insulin secretion, activation of glucose utilization, counteracting the glucotoxicity in β-cells and suppressing the glucagon secretion by α-cell of pancreas (Jeppesen et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2005, Chen et al., 2007, Shibata et al., 1995).

Recently the role of adipocyte derived cytokine in the incidence of diabetes has been demonstrated (Bulcao, Ferreira, Giuffrida, & Ribeiro-Filho, 2006; Yang et al., 2006). Visfatin and omentin are two novel adipocyte cytokines that are involved in insulin signal transduction (Yang et al., 2006), glucose homeostasis (Chandran, Phillips, Ciaraldi, & Henry, 2003) and insulin-mimetic effects (Fukuhara et al., 2005). There are some clinical trials that studied the relationship between glucose lowering agents (metformin and resiglitason) and adipocytokines (Esteghamati et al., 2013) but to the best of our knowledge there is no published report to show the effect of stevia consumption, as a novel glucose lowering remedy (FDA approved), on serum adipocytokines concentration in an animal or human model study. Therefore we undertook this research to investigate the impact of stevia on serum omentin and visfatin levels in diabetic induced rats, in order to find other potential mechanisms involved in the hypoglycemic property of stevia. Furthermore the dose effectiveness dependency of stevia on morphology of the liver and pancreas as well as lipid and glycemic parameters was evaluated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty specific pathogen-free male wistar rats weighing 180–250 g were provided from the Medical Center Animal Research facility at Esfahan University of
medical sciences. They were housed in micro-filter-top cages at Bushehr University of medical sciences animal house. The animals were allowed to acclimatize for 2 weeks at 22 ± 3°C with a 12:12-hr light:dark cycle and 60–65% humidity, provided with rodent chow and water ad libitum. All experimental protocols were followed under the approval from the Animal Care and Use Committee for Animal Investigations. The experimental model was conducted in a manner consistent with the relevant ethical guidelines for animal research. The animals were randomly divided into 5 groups of 8 members each. After fasting for 12 h, the experimental diabetes was induced by intraperitoneal injection of a single dose of 60 mg/kg of streptozotocin (STZ) (Alexis Biochemical, Lot-L24553) to the rats in all the groups except one which was assigned to be the non-diabetic control group. After 5 days of the STZ injection, blood samples were taken from the tail of the subjects and the glucose level was measured by glucometer (Bionime Rightest GM 300, Switzerland). Animals with a blood glucose value of >300 mg/dL were assumed to be diabetics (Heidarian & Soofiniya, 2011) and the others were excluded.

Stevia was supplied from the north of Iran. Sample specimens were authenticated by the herbarium of the research center of Agriculture and Natural Resources of Bushehr Province, Iran. The voucher was deposited in the Herbarium of Bushehr University of Medical Sciences. The aqueous extract of stevia plant was obtained by boiling aerial parts for 30 min in distilled water at a ratio of 1:100 w/v, and incubated overnight at 40°C with slow shaking on an orbital shaker (Stuart Scientific Orbital Shaker, UK). The hydrosoluble part was centrifuged (6000 g, 10 min) and insoluble precipitate was discarded. The supernatant was filtered by Whatman No. 1 paper. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure at 40°C using a rotary evaporator (Laborota 4000, Heildolph, Germany) and finally freeze-dried to get the stevia extract. The resulting sample was powdered and plastic sealed for future use.

Rats in group 1 [non-diabetic control group (N-DC)] and group 2 [diabetic control group (DC)] were treated with distilled water, and the rats in group 3 (T250), group 4 (T500), and group 5 (T750) were treated with stevia, gavaged every day at 9 a.m. in corresponding doses of 250, 500, and 750 mg/kg, respectively. The rats in all groups continued to consume their usual diet while taking the stevia or distilled water. The rat diet included brown rice, oats, wheat, soy, and fish meal, Calcium Carbonate, Yeast Culture Dehydrated, Flax Seed Meal (Linseed), Inulin, Monocalcium Phosphate, Soy Oil and needed vitamins and minerals (Table 1).

At the end of the 30th day of the study, after 12 hr nocturnal fasting, the rats were given a lethal overdose of isoflorane by inhalation. Subsequently the blood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Analysis of the Nutrients Contained in Rat Diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude carbohydrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Premium Ingredients: Brown Rice, Oats and Wheat, Soy and Fish Meal.
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samples were taken from the heart into a syringe and placed on ice; all blood samples were promptly centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min at 4°C, the serum was separated, and it was kept at −80°C for further analysis. Analyses were carried out at the laboratory of the Persian Gulf Tropical Medicine Research Center on the day of the blood collection. Serum glucose, triglycerides (TGs), total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol were determined using the enzymatic method (Pars Azemon Co, Iran) by an auto analyzer, selectra-2 (vital science, spankeren, Netherlands). Insulin was measured using ELISA (AlpcO Insulin ELISA kit). Homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) of fasting blood sugar (FBS) and homeostasis model assessment insulin-B cells (HOMA-B) were calculated by the following formulae (Matthews et al., 1985):

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{Insulin (} \mu\text{IU/ml}) \times \text{FBS (} \text{mmol/ml})}{22.5}
\]

\[
\text{HOMA-B} = \frac{20 \times \text{Insulin (} \mu\text{IU/ml})}{\text{FBS (} \text{mmol/ml})} - 3.5
\]

Serum omentin concentrations were measured using rat omentin ELISA kit [ELISA kit, Cat. No: CK-E11073, China]. The detection limit of the assay and sensitivity were 2–600 ng/L, and 1.12 ng/L properly. The intra and inter-assay coefficients of variance were less than 10% and 20%, respectively. To detect visfatin in the serum samples, a commercially available (Cat. No.V0523EK) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Adipo-Gen, Seoul, Korea) was used following the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay sensitivity for visfatin was 0.10 ng/mL; the intra and inter-assay coefficients of variance were 3.8–5.5% and 6.4–9.5%, respectively.

To evaluate the histopathology changes in the pancreatic β-cells and in the liver of STZ-induced diabetic rats, pancreatic and liver biopsies were taken on the 30th day of the study. The samples were fixed and dehydrated by formaldehyde and alcohol properly. Subsequently the fixed samples were molded and embedded by paraffin and finally they were cut in 3-micron thickness and stained (H and E staining) for evaluation by light microscopy. Light and electron micrographs of samples which obtained through light microscopy were carefully studied and evaluated for morphological changes.

**Statistical Analysis**

The distribution of variables was studied using probability plots and the Shapiro-Wilks test. Due to the \( \chi^2 \) distribution of the variables, nonparametric tests were used to analyze the data. The differences after intervention between groups in omentin, visfatin, lipidemic, and glycemic parameters were analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis. Mann–Whitney \( U \) was used wherever there was a main effect in order to compare variables between each group separately. A value of \( p < .05 \) was accepted as significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 15 statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).
FIGURE 1. Photomicrographs of liver and pancreatic images of STZ-induced diabetic rats. Group 1 [Control: the non-diabetic control group], group 2 [Diabet, the diabetic control group], group 3 [fed 250 mg/d stevia], group 4 [fed 500 mg/d stevia], group 5 [fed 750 mg/d stevia] (H and E staining, ×400 magnification). Stevia extract administration did not induce any increase in the number of \( \beta \)-cells of pancreatic islets in all of the treated groups. In addition no sign of liver tissue deterioration was seen following stevia prescription.

RESULTS

After STZ injection the FBS in the animals in the study were 318.00 ± 131.78 which showed that all the rats were induced with diabetes. The FBS and weight of the diabetic rats confirmed that the groups were well matched for all entry criteria (data not shown) and that there were no significant differences between the groups.

After 30 days of stevia consumption, a significant reduction in FBS in group 3 (T250) \( (p = .03) \), as well as in group 4 (T500) \( (p = .03) \) was seen in comparison with group 2 (DC). The HOMA-IR also decreased in group 3 (T250) \( (p = .04) \) and in group 4 (T500) \( (p = .01) \) sequel stevia prescription. A significant decrease in the TG level was observed in group 3 (T250) \( (p = .02) \) and in group 4 (T500) \( (p = .02) \) in comparison with group 2 (DC) at the end of the study. The decline in omentin was also significant in group 3 (T250) \( (p = .01) \) and in group 4 (T500) \( (p = .01) \) compared to group 2 (DC). The ALP level at the end of the study decreased in group 3 (T250) \( (p = .010) \) as well as in group 4 (T500) \( (p = .01) \) after intervention. The stevia supplementation of 750 mg/kg/d showed no significant changes in any biochemical parameters between the groups (Table 2).

The difference in the fasting insulin concentrations, HOMA-B, visfatin, cholesterol, and HDL-C were not significant between the treated groups and group 1 (N-DC) and 2 (DC).

The pancreatic and hepatic histopathology slides of all the groups were prepared on the 30th day of study. As shown in Figure 1 the stevia extract did not induce any increase in the number of \( \beta \)-cells of pancreatic islets in any of the treated groups. In addition no sign of liver tissue deterioration was seen in histopathology slides after the stevia treatment in the diabetic rats.

DISCUSSION

Omentin as a novel adipocytokine has been known to modulate blood glucose. In our study the level of omentin showed a significant reduction with increasing doses of stevia prescription in diabetic rats. Esteghamati et al., in agreement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (N-DC)</th>
<th>Group 2 (DC)</th>
<th>Group 3 (T250)</th>
<th>Group 4 (T500)</th>
<th>Group 5 (T750)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Omentin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>110.67 ± 11.29</td>
<td>124.85 ± 11.24**</td>
<td>101.63 ± 23.61*</td>
<td>99.98 ± 20.17*</td>
<td>106.55 ± 16.87*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visfatin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>10.03 ± 6.39</td>
<td>10.17 ± 5.77</td>
<td>6.89 ± 4.35</td>
<td>10.65 ± 4.87</td>
<td>7.47 ± 3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS (mg/dL)</td>
<td>137.79 ± 23.22</td>
<td>526.16 ± 180.8**</td>
<td>142.57 ± 128.08*</td>
<td>144.50 ± 84.78*</td>
<td>290.86 ± 187.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>5.44 ± 2.22</td>
<td>18.47 ± 10.67**</td>
<td>2.82 ± 4.04*</td>
<td>5.53 ± 6.22*</td>
<td>8.32 ± 9.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-B</td>
<td>41.84 ± 17.62</td>
<td>12.21 ± 9.23**</td>
<td>21.82 ± 7.16</td>
<td>33.66 ± 15.8</td>
<td>25.41 ± 26.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>76.17 ± 11.72</td>
<td>91.16 ± 25.30**</td>
<td>36.29 ± 21.07*</td>
<td>38.33 ± 14.96*</td>
<td>53.71 ± 36.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>41.10 ± 5.88</td>
<td>52.93 ± 7.54</td>
<td>54.06 ± 16.67</td>
<td>52.33 ± 17.07</td>
<td>44.09 ± 9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>353.33 ± 46.62</td>
<td>1272.00 ± 481.48**</td>
<td>758.86 ± 324.78*</td>
<td>943.50 ± 324.48*</td>
<td>1084 ± 728.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>250.33 ± 38.05</td>
<td>252.42 ± 37.55</td>
<td>254.42 ± 23.45</td>
<td>255.83 ± 24.75</td>
<td>223.85 ± 30.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD. Kruskal–Wallis was used to assess treatment effects between groups. Mann-Whitney correction was used wherever there was a main effect. *Significantly changes for each of the three treatment groups relative to the group 2 (diabetic control group). **Significantly differences for group 2 (diabetic control group) relative to the group 1 (non-diabetic control group). FBS = fasting blood sugar; TG = triglyceride; ALP = alkaline phosphatase; HOMA-IR = homeostasis model assessments for insulin resistance; HOMA-B = homeostasis model assessments for b-cells.
with our findings, reported a significant reduction in omentin level after administration of the herbal and artificial hypoglycemic medication (metformin and resiglitasone) in type 2 diabetic patients (Esteghamati et al., 2013). In our study and also in the research by Esteghamati et al., FBS similar to omentin decreased following consumption of the medication by the study subjects. In contrast, there are several reports showing an increase in the level of omentin following serum glucose reduction in diabetic individuals (Yan et al., 2011). To try to account for this discrepancy, we should address the mechanisms which are involved in modulating the blood glucose level by stevia compared to omentin. The biochemical pathways attributed to stevia in lowering blood glucose [suppression of hepatic glucose release, attenuation of glucagon secretion, and decrease of peripheral insulin resistance] are independent of the pathways attributed to omentin [3-kinase, Akt, or S160] (Saravanan, Vengatashbabu, & Ramachandran, 2012; Shibata et al., 1995; Chen, Jeppesen, Nordentoft, & Hermansen, 2007; Yang et al., 2006). If insulin sensitivity as well as regulation of blood glucose is restored via stevia dependent mechanisms, independently of omentin mechanisms, it could be concluded that the level of omentin is suppressed or at least attenuated after stevia prescription.

Therefore, stevia suppresses the level of serum omentin via amelioration of insulin resistance per se. In other words, stevia reduces omentin level indirectly via negative feedback. Additionally, declining serum omentin levels following stevia administration could be explained through the relationships between omentin and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). There is a well-established association between increased omentin level and the incidence of NAFLD. It has been reported that the level of omentin is an independent predictor for disruption of microtubules and necrosis of hepatocyte in NAFLD (Yilmaz et al., 2011). On the other hand, the association between NAFLD and diabetes is very well established (Utzschneider & Kahn, 2006). If NAFLD, which is a hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome and is characterized by insulin resistance (Utzschneider & Kahn, 2006), is treated by stevia administration, it will regulate not only blood glucose but also omentin levels. It should also be noted that the effect of stevia on liver has been accompanied by protective properties (Saravanan et al., 2012). In summary it could be concluded that the ability of stevia to lower omentin levels might be primarily attributed to its hepatic protective functions, while acknowledging that other mechanisms are also involved.

In the current study the level of fasting plasma glucose decreased in those rats which consumed stevia in comparison with the control group. The hypoglycemic effect of stevia is recognized and could be found elsewhere (Gregersen, Jeppesen, Holst, & Hermansen, 2004), although the mechanisms involved are not fully elucidated. Some reports have indicated that the hypoglycemic effect of stevia could attributed to the enhancement of insulin secretion (Jeppesen et al., 2000), the correction of liver gluconeogenic enzymes abnormalities (Saravanan et al., 2012), the suppression of glucagon secretion by the α-cell of the pancreas (Shibata et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2007) and finally by augmentation of glucose utilization in peripheral tissues and muscles (Chen et al., 2005). Analysis of the data from the present study showed no significant changes in insulin level following stevia prescription. According HOMA-B did not changed throughout the study and analyzing the pancreatic
biopsy samples of treated rats demonstrated no increase in B-cell in comparison to untreated groups (Figure 1). Sustained HOMA-B accompanied by a significant reduction in HOMA-IR, following stevia prescription, together lead us to assume that stevia decreases blood glucose via enhancing insulin binding capacity. In agreement with our findings, Chen et al. suggested stevioside is able to regulate blood glucose level by enhancing insulin utilization in insulin-deficient rats. He concluded that this property of stevia is due to decreased protein levels of phosphoenol pyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and PEPCK mRNA which simultaneously slow down gluconeogenesis in rat liver (Chen et al., 2005). More studies are likely warranted to elucidate the ambiguities which have surrounded the mechanisms involved in the role of stevia in modulating blood glucose at the cellular levels.

Besides the hypoglycemic effects, our findings also point to a hypolipidemic role for stevia. The published sources about the impact of stevia in lipid profiles are scarce. In this study the TG level has decreased significantly after stevia administration. The hypo lipidemic property of stevia might be explained by interaction between stevia consumption and activation of peroxisome proliferators-activated receptors (PPARs). Recently a working model was developed, at the gene transcriptional level, which involves PPARs as a regulatory factor in lipogenesis process (Pegorier, May, & Girard, 2004). Some studies indicated that PPARs could activate the expression of the lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and apo C-II genes as well as the hepatic uptake and esterification of free fatty acids, along with increasing mitochondrial free fatty acid oxidation (Fruchart & Duriez, 2006; Auwerx, Schoonjans, Fruchart, & Staels, 1996). Mueller et al. concluded that stevia can activate PPARα and identified this property as a possible mechanism involved in the hypotriglyceridemic effect of stevia (Mueller, Beck, & Jungbauer, 2011).

It should also be mentioned that the reduction of TG, FBS, ALK, and omentin did not appear in a dose dependent manner. With increasing dosage of stevia the beneficial impact was reduced. The U-shaped relationship between the stevia doses and the fasting blood glucose, the TG as well as the omentin levels in this study leads us to conclude that the impact of stevia on blood lipids glucose, liver enzyme, as well as on omentin has a saturation limit, beyond which further increase of stevia consumption has significant beneficial effect (Figures 2–4). It could therefore be concluded that there are therapeutic limits for this agent, beyond which not only are the beneficial characteristics eliminated but toxic implications appear. There are some reports indicating that the medium lethal dose (LD50) for stevia is more than 5 g/kg/d, although lower doses have revealed no significant changes in the animal behavior, such as in alertness, motor activity, breathing, restlessness, diarrhea, convulsions, coma, and appearance. No death was also observed up to the dose of 5 g/kg body weight (Kujur et al., 2010). The toxicity of herbal medicine has always been a subject of debate. The hepatic insult following herbal medicine treatment has been reported by several studies (Posadzki, Watson, & Ernst, 2013; Nunes et al., 2007). In our study histopathology analysis of samples of liver biopsy showed no lesions at the dosage level applied in the study when compared to those of the control group (Figure 1). Shivanna et al. believed that stevia has renal and hepatic protective properties which are manifested by attenuation of malondialdehyde (MDA) and improvement of the antioxidant status of the liver. They believed that this characteristic is due to the antioxidant component of stevia (Shivanna,
FIGURE 2. Effect of stevia on FBS. Prescription of stevia in doses of 250 mg/kg/d in the group 3 and 500 mg/kg/d in the group 4 decreased FBS significantly in respect to the group 2 (diabetic control group). No significant change in FBS was seen following prescription of stevia in doses of 750 mg/kg/d in group 5 in comparison with group 2 (n = 8, mean ± SD, p < .05).

FIGURE 3. Effect of stevia on TG. Prescription of stevia in doses of 250 mg/kg/d in group 3 and 500 mg/kg/d in group 4 decreased TG significantly in respect of group 2 (diabetic control group). No significant change in FBS was seen following prescription of stevia in doses of 750 mg/kg/d in group 5 in comparison with group 2 (n = 8, mean ± SD, p < .05).

Naika, Khanum, & Kaul, 2013). In the current study also a significant reduction in the hepatic ALP was found which confirms the hepatic protective role of stevia up to a dose of 250–500 mg/kg/d.

In the present study the visfatin level did not change following stevia prescription. Some studies have reported inconsistent and conflicting results regarding associations between visfatin and diabetes (Eriksson et al., 1989; Zhang et al., 2010).
FIGURE 4. Effect of stevia on serum omentin level. Prescription of stevia in doses of 250 mg/kg/d in group 3 and 500 mg/kg/d in group 4 decreased omentin, significantly in comparison with group 2 (diabetic control group). No significant change in omentin was seen following prescription of stevia in doses of 750 mg/kg/d in group 5 in comparison with group 2 ($n = 8$, mean $\pm$ SD, $p < .05$).

The original work describing visfatin binding to IR has been withdrawn (Fukuhara et al., 2005). There are several reports that show significant correlation between plasma visfatin concentrations and the amount of visceral fat (Fukuhara et al., 2005; Haider et al., 2006). Han et al. found significant increase in visfatin level among obese compared to non-obese rats, which confirms the strong association between obesity and increased visfatin in animal models (Han, Zhang, Qin, & Zhai, 2013). In our study the weight of the animals did not change throughout the study and this could be considered a potential reason for non-significant changes in visfatin level in the treated groups.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that prescription of stevia in a dose of 250 and 500 mg/d p.o. decreases the omentin level indirectly via activating insulin sensitivity and lowering blood glucose in STZ-induced diabetic rats. It is worth mentioning that we did not evaluate the effects of ether extract and methanolic extract of stevia on the adipocytokines level in diabetic rats. A future study of this area would be worthwhile.
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